

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

ROMANOWSKI LAW OFFICES
475 Main Street – 2nd Floor
Metuchen, NJ 08840
(732) 603-8585
Attorney for Plaintiff

Plaintiff

Rhonda P. Webber

vs.

Defendant

Ronald Webber

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
CHANCERY DIVISION
FAMILY PART
MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Docket No.: FM-12-0000-00-Z

CIVIL ACTION

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

The Plaintiff, Rhonda P. Webber, residing at 22 Happenstance Drive, in the Borough of Dissolution, County of Middlesex, State of New Jersey says:

DESERTION

1. She was lawfully married to Ronald Webber on February 14, 1985 in a civil ceremony in Dissolution, New Jersey.
2. She was a *bona fide* resident of the State of New Jersey when this cause of action arose and has ever since and for more than one year next preceding the commencement of this action continued to be such *bona fide* resident.

On December 1, 2002, the time the within cause of action arose, the Plaintiff was domiciled at 22 Happenstance Drive, in the Borough of Dissolution, County of Middlesex, State of New Jersey.

3. The Defendant, Ronald Webber, now resides at 44 Predicament Place, in the Town of Estrangement, County of Middlesex and State of New Jersey.

4. The Defendant deserted the Plaintiff in or about the month of December, 2002, ever since which time and for more than twelve months last past, he has willfully and continuously deserted the Plaintiff.

5. Two children were born of the marriage; namely: Stephanie Webber, born February 17, 1989, age 14 and Michael Webber, born September 22, 1996, age seven, all of whom are presently in the joint legal custody of the parties, with the Plaintiff acting as the primary caretaker.

6. There have been no prior proceedings between the parties in the nature of Domestic Violence.

7. The Plaintiff has no adequate means of support for herself and the two children born of the marriage, or to pay her counsel fees and costs.

8. Property, real and/or personal, was legally and beneficially acquired by the parties, or either of them, during the marriage.

9. There have been no previous proceedings between the Plaintiff and Defendant respecting the marriage or its dissolution or respecting the maintenance of the Plaintiff and the two children born of the marriage.

10. It is in the best interests of the children that joint legal custody be thereof be awarded, with primary residential custody awarded to the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment on this count:

- (A) Dissolving the marriage between the parties;
- (B) Awarding joint legal custody, with primary residential custody of the unemancipated children of the marriage awarded to Plaintiff ;
- (C) Awarding the Defendant reasonable rights of parenting time with the infant children of the marriage;
- (D) Compelling the Defendant to support the Plaintiff and the two children were born of the marriage;
- (E) Equitably distributing all property, both real and personal, which was legally and beneficially acquired by the parties or by either of them during the marriage;
- (F) Directing the Defendant to continue the Plaintiff as beneficiary on all life insurance policies on the Defendant's life;
- (G) For counsel fees and costs;
- (H) Permitting the Plaintiff to resume her birth name of Rhonda P. McGinty;
- (I) For such further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

ROMANOWSKI LAW OFFICES
Attorney for Plaintiff

By: _____

CURTIS J. ROMANOWSKI, ESQ.

DATED: December 06, 2003